Qase 2:23-cv-03452-JAK-MRW Document 79 Filed 08/19/23 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:702

Mr. Tucker knows I expect answers to these questions immediately as we are well past 30 days they have had to answer. If none of these questions can be answered, according to Mr. Tucker's legal theory, there can be no dismissal. The questions seem to triple at the insistence by which NOT to answer questions about abuse incurred on their platform with many reports.

Plainitff is pro per requests the court compel testimony in the form of admissions or denials to any question they find appropriate, whether it be all of them, half of them, or if they must parse questions. Plaintiff contends some info must be learned before YouTube even has a right to enter a hearing for dismissal.

Dated: Friday August 19th, 2023

Signed by:

Todd Michael Schultz

In Pro Per

(ase 2:23-cv-03452-JAK-MRW	Document 79	Filed 08/19/23	Page 3 of 3	Page ID #:704
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9			•		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
1718					
19	counsel For Mr. Holmes a	nd Mr. Thopmp	son		
20	Mr. Thompson ar Mark D. Hurwitz	nd Mr. Holmes'	counsel		
21	Levy, Small & La				
22	815 Moraga Drive Los Angeles, Cal	ifornia 90049			
23	Tel: 310-471-300 Email: MHurwitz		I-7990		
24	counsel for Google LLC	and YouTube L	LC		
25	Conor Tucker				
26	Wilson Sonsir	i Goodrich & Re			
27	Angeles, CA 9		424) 446-6925		
28	ctucker@wsgr	com.			
		3			